

A NOVEL TRAPEZOIDAL PLATE SOLAR COLLECTOR: NUMERIC SIMULATION USING SIMUSOL SOFTWARE AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

Salvo Aien^a, Dellicompagni Pablo^a, Franco Judith^a, Sarmiento Nilsa^b

^aInstituto de Investigaciones en Energía No Convencional (INENCO), 5150 Bolivia Av. (4400). Salta, Argentina. E-mail address: aienweni@gmail.com

^b Universidad Nacional de Salta (UNSa). 5150 Bolivia Av. (4400). Salta, Argentina.

Abstract: In a global warming context, the diversification of the energy matrix is essential for mitigation. Technologies and solar devices have begun to play an important role in this sense, being flat-plate solar collectors the most practical device. On the other hand, numerical modeling and experimental validation are important tools for improving the performance of these technologies. In this work, a trapezoidal solar air heating collector for food drying processes was modeled by using the Simusol open-access software, and experimental validation was performed. This particular shape presents a geometrical novelty since no other similar designs were found into the available literature, even in such application as food drying. Key parameters, such as air temperature, global efficiency, air mass flow, global heat loss coefficient, and useful heat, are determined and discussed. The proposed air collector numerically behaves as expected. The output air temperature reaches about 100 °C, while the peak heat gain is about 900 W, which makes the air heating collector suitable for drying applications. Due to natural convection is the main heat transferring mechanism, low air mass flows were obtained. For the case analyzed here, this last parameter ranges in 0.012–0.016 kg/s for the optimal thermal behavior.

Keywords: SAHC, numerical modeling, Simusol software, experimental validation, thermal performance.

1. Introduction

Solar energy has been considered the main source of renewable energy in the last years [1]. In this sense, technologies and solar devices have begun to play an important role in the global warming and climate change context [2]. The implementation of solar energy-based technologies, at all scales, promotes a decrease in both fossil fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions [3, 4]. In the field of solar thermal energy, flat plate collectors are one of the most widely used technologies due to their easy construction and operation [4, 5]. They are heat exchangers that transform solar radiation into thermal energy [6, 7]. Solar air heating collectors (SAHC) are the most widely used devices due to their simple design, although their efficiency is lower than that of solar water heating collectors [8, 9]. However, new designs are continuously being proposed to increase their thermal performance and obtain more compact and lighter devices [4, 10 - 13]. Since the SAHC are used in multiple applications, they can be assembled in various ways in terms of their configuration and constituent materials [14].

Numerical modeling combined with experimental validation has been widely implemented as a strategy for design optimization of air heating collectors [14 - 20]. However, several authors have studied the SAHC by focusing on theoretical/numerical development. Zulkifle [8] evaluated two types of—glass and Fresnel lens—on a v-groove SAHC and studied the influence of each cover in terms of heat transfer, pressure drop, output temperature, and thermal efficiency. The theoretical and numerical approaches

were established using Excel software. These authors reported higher efficiency for a collector covered with Fresnel lens than for those covered with glass, 71.18 % vs. 54.10 %, respectively. Moreover, the mass flow rate contributed to efficiency enhancement. Bhattacharyya [21] determined by numerical simulation the optimum number and dimension of rectangular fins in a single-pass SAHC for two different fin thicknesses. The design and optimization of the SAHCs were carried out using the Computational Fluid Dynamic Model. Qui Lin [22] made a numerical simulation of SAHC with a perforated metallic plate by using a ray-tracing solar load model and obtained the temperature and velocity distribution on the plate collector. Hernández [23] developed an analytical model to assess the thermal performance of three different collectors: single-pass, double-pass, and double-flow. A robust formulation was elaborated, based on the local energy balance, which made it possible to obtain the efficiency plots for collectors, as well as the input/output temperatures. Other important parameters, such as heat removal factor, convective coefficients, useful heat gain, and global loss coefficients, were formulated. The best thermal behavior was revealed for a double-pass collector, with an optical efficiency of up to 60 % and a peak output temperature of 46.4 °C. This behavior is related to better heat transmission when both upper and bottom air fluxes are opposite.

Most authors validated their theoretical developments under laboratory conditions and experimental tests. Reichl [24] performed three-dimensional fluid dynamic simulations for SAHC, where the heat mechanisms of conduction, convection, and radiation were modeled in great detail. Their model was solved by the ANSYS FLUENT software. The experimental setup was carried out at the Test Lab Solar Thermal Systems of the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems (ISE) in Freiburg. The modeled collector was validated by comparison of the output temperature obtained under laboratory conditions. A high correlation was observed, and therefore, the simulation allowed the authors to predict other parameters, such as absorber temperature, heat transported to air, efficiency, and thermal losses. Badache [25] compared the performance of two types of unglazed thermal collectors with computational fluid dynamics through the FLUENT software. In this case, turbulences within the collector were considered with the Reynolds–Normalized Group (RNG) $k-\epsilon$ turbulence model [26, 27]. The experimental tests were performed under a laboratory-controlled environment, where the collector had a vertical position and the unglazed covering was, in fact, a metallic absorber on which the radiation from lamps impinged. This absorber had a certain number of slots and the air circulation was forced through them. Both numerical and experimental results were focused on thermal efficiency, combining three mass fluxes with two radiation values and two different plenums. A higher correlation of results with a higher mass flow was observed, while radiation and plenum thickness variations had no substantial influence on efficiency.

The validation of numerical models by experimental investigations under real solar conditions is more valuable than that conducted in the laboratory [28 -33]. Esmalie [15] developed a complete energy model of a SAHC to predict the air output temperature and velocity profiles and then compared the results with measured data. This comparison revealed an average difference of 3.5 % and 1.5 % between predicted and measured output air temperature in natural and forced convection, respectively. These values were lower than the results obtained in previous studies, which included error percentages in a range from 4 % to 10 %. Mustafa and Mustafa [26] performed the numerical simulation of a quarter-circle-shaped SAHC using ANSYS-FLUENT, and a mesh model was created with GAMBIT. They considered viscous properties and turbulence and implemented the RNG $k-\epsilon$ model to predict and visualize heat transfer and flow field throughout the

SAHC. The validation of numerical results was performed with the experimentally measured data, with a reasonable correlation when the air flow temperature difference was plotted against the collector radius. Hernández [29] implemented the Gauss-Seidel iterative method for equation solving. This method allowed them to design and evaluate the thermo-energetic behavior of SAHC with a flat or V-corrugated absorber plate and a porous matrix. Higher air temperature and efficiency are possible due to this porous matrix incorporation. For the validation of their numerical model, both the inlet and outlet air temperature were measured with K-type thermocouples, and the useful heat gain was compared. This comparison demonstrated a high correlation between numerical and experimental results for four winter days with maximum differences below 3 °C, while the useful heat gain ranged peaks 1200 W.

The work presented here is supported by an academic project for developing a solar dehydrator of tropical fruits and vegetables. The solar energy gain takes place in a trapezoidal-shaped SAHC. This particular shape presents a geometrical novelty since no other similar designs were found into the available literature. In comparison to a rectangular-shaped collector, the air velocity increase along the inner channels from the bottom to the top of the collector. On the other hand, the construction of a trapezoidal SAHC could require more complex techniques than solar collector available in the market. Furthermore, as the collector studied here has bigger dimensions than common ones, its transportability to the emplacement place is more difficult. Then, these technical issues make the trapezoidal SAHC less attractive to be proposed, built and mounted. The main reason why this particular shape was selected is due to it was designed to be implemented in tropical regions where environmental humidity could be greater than other locations. The additional collecting area also improves the psychrometric properties of the air, mainly moisture absorption capacity and specific enthalpy.

The SAHC thermal model proposed here is based on natural convective as the main heat transfer mechanism for the air heating process. It is developed using a relatively new free software, called Simusol (Saravia [34]; visit www.simusol.org), to determine its thermal behavior taking into account its geometrical shape, size, and construction aspects. Key parameters, such as air temperature, global efficiency, air mass flow, global heat loss coefficient, and useful heat, are determined and discussed. The validated model will allow to study the thermal performance of the collector under different climatic conditions. Even more, a simulation of a rectangular-shaped SAHC with the same thermal model is presented in this work, to compare differences on results between both technologies.

2. Problem definition

Fig. 1 shows the analyzed trapezoidal SAHC. The dimensions are 2.44 m x 1 m wide, 1.9 m long, and 0.1 m thick. The covering surface is 0.006 m-thick alveolar polycarbonate. The external case is made of galvanized steel, and a 0.05 m fiberglass layer was used for thermal insulation.




Fig. 1. a) Scheme of the trapezoidal SAHC. b) Installation setup.

The absorber plate is 1 mm-thick black-painted sinusoidal galvanized steel. The total area of solar collection is 3.3 m². The absorber splits the duct into an upper and bottom channel for air circulation. The collector was north-oriented with a fixed slope of 36°, as shown in Fig. 1. The assumed thermal properties of the materials used in this work are displayed in Table 1.

Table 1
Properties of the materials

Property	Absorber	Covering	Case	Isolation
Density ρ (kg/m ³)	7.850	1.200	-	14
Conductivity λ (W/m °C)	-	0.2	-	0.03
Absorptivity α (-)	0.96	-	-	-
Transmittance τ (-)	-	0.8	-	-
Emissivity ε (-)	0.8	0.9	0.1	-

3. Physical and mathematical model

The thermal energy is transferred from the collecting plate to the circulating air by heat convection as the main mechanism [6]. Then, radiative and conductive transmissions are also considered. The air circulation takes place both below and above the absorber plate. This configuration facilitates improvements in the performance of the heat collecting process [23, 35]. Hourly measured climatological variables, such as wind speed, solar radiation, and environmental temperature, were considered as boundary conditions. The outer convective coefficients were calculated based on the methodology described by Duffie and Beckman [6]. Fig. 2 shows the physical model implemented in the simulation. In this model, the air temperatures are represented by nodes: one of them located between the plate and the covering surface, and the other one between the plate and the bottom surface. The transmitted solar radiation ($I\tau$) impinges on the absorber and part of it is absorbed as thermal energy ($I\tau\alpha$), which is partially transferred to the air nodes by convection. The thermal transmission between these air nodes and lateral inner walls is also considered. Thermal conductive mechanisms through the isolation case and the cover are taken into account. Three thermal losses take place in this model: upper (covering surface), lower (bottom surface), and lateral. The boundary conditions on the outer and inner surfaces also include the effect of thermal radiation and convection.




Fig. 2. Scheme of temperature nodes and thermal transmission circuits.

The ribbed shape of the plate is not considered in the model because of its quasi-flat shape. A different case occurs when the absorber has a pronounced irregular form, as noted by Zulkifl [8] and Bashria [36]. Nusselt number conditions for laminar flow, transition, and turbulent flow regions must be treated differently. Nevertheless, in the present design, the transversal areas where air flows can be considered rectangular, without much error. The equations for thermal calculus are described below [37, 38].

$$Re_{ij} = \frac{\rho_i v_i Dh_{ij}}{\mu_i} \quad (1)$$

$$Nu_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0.453 Re_{ij}^{0.5} \cdot Pr_{ij}^{0.333} & Pr_{ij} \geq 0.6 \\ 0.0308 Re_{ij}^{0.8} \cdot Pr_{ij}^{0.333} & 0.6 \leq Pr_{ij} \leq 60 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$h_{ij} = \frac{Nu_{ij} \lambda_{ij}}{Dh_{ij}} \quad (3)$$

The temperature dependence of Prandtl (Pr), dynamic viscosity (μ), and conductivity coefficient (λ) are implemented within the program by tables. The values for these parameters were taken from Bergman [37]. A similar consideration was reported by Zulkifl [8] and Bashria [36], but they implemented formulas related to air temperature, instead of discrete values.

As the collector was modeled by dividing the equipment into three sections, subscript i refers to each transversal discrete section of the collector while subscript j refers to each convective and radiative coefficient within the same section. Then, each section is connected to the others by the air flow mass, as shown in Fig. 3. $BI-3$ and $DI-3$ nodes represent the temperature of circulating air above and below the absorber plate, respectively. The air mass flow through the collector is the same, but since the transverse section has a linear decrease, the air velocity increases while the air rises through the collector. $HI-3$ and $LI-3$ represent the inertial capacitance of the circulating air. The temperature nodes ss and si represent the output air temperature for both upper and bottom channels, respectively. Convection was modeled between these nodes and the environmental temperature node, according to Iriarte [39].




Fig. 3. Model representation of air mass flow through the collector. $B0-3$ and $D0-3$ correspond to the air flow above and below the absorber plate, respectively.

3.1. Boundary conditions

For any section, the mathematical boundary conditions for the calculation domain are given as:

- Covering surface

This surface is exposed to the outer conditions. Then, convection and radiative transmissions are the heat transfer mechanisms. The aperture area is made of honeycomb polycarbonate and modeled as single thermal conductance with a transmission coefficient of $\lambda_p=3.6$ (W/m² °C). Eq. 4 gives the energy balance for the solar collecting surface.

$$h_{cs,i}(T_{ci,o} - T_e) + F_{sky}\beta_s\epsilon\sigma(T_{ci,o}^4 - T_{sky}^4) + F_{sky}(1 - \beta_s)\epsilon\sigma(T_{ci,o}^4 - T_e^4) = \lambda_p \frac{\partial T_c}{\partial y} \quad (4)$$

According to Liu [40, 41]:

$$\beta_s = \sqrt{0.5(1 + \cos \theta_i)} \quad (5)$$

$$F_{sky} = 0.5(1 + \cos \theta_i) \quad (6)$$

$$T_{sky} = 0.0552 T_e^{1.5} \quad (7)$$

- Absorber plate

The absorber is a black painted metallic surface, where solar radiation is partially absorbed and the temperature of the plate rises. The energy balance is given by Eq. 8, where thermal conduction through the metallic surface was neglected due to its thin thickness.

$$I_i\tau_p\alpha_p = h_{pai,a}(T_{p,i} - T_{ai,a}) + h_{pai,b}(T_{p,i} - T_{ai,b}) + \epsilon\sigma[2T_{p,i}^4 - T_{bi,i}^4 - T_{ci,i}^4] \quad (8)$$

- Bottom surface

The air circulation below the absorber transmits part of its thermal energy to the bottom surface by convection, and then by conduction through the bottom isolation layer to the

outer surface. For any section of the collector, Eq. 9 introduces the thermal condition boundary for the bottom surface, where the conduction of the metallic internal case is neglected due to its thin thickness.

$$h_{ab,i}(T_{ai,b} - T_{bi,i}) + \epsilon\sigma(T_{p,i}^4 - T_{bi,i}^4) = \lambda_{is} \frac{\partial T_{ib}}{\partial y} \quad (9)$$

- Lateral inner walls

The thermal losses through the lateral walls of the collector were also considered in this model. Only the convective mechanism is considered here, for both bottom and upper channels:

$$h \Big|_{ai,a}^{li,i-1} (T_{ai,a} - T_{li,i-1}) + h \Big|_{ai,b}^{li,i-2} (T_{ai,b} - T_{li,i-1}) = \lambda_{is} \frac{\partial T_{li-1}}{\partial y} \quad (10)$$

$$h \Big|_{ai,a}^{li,i-2} (T_{ai,a} - T_{li,i-2}) + h \Big|_{ai,b}^{li,i-2} (T_{ai,b} - T_{li,i-2}) = \lambda_{is} \frac{\partial T_{li-2}}{\partial y} \quad (11)$$

3.2. Thermal performance

By definition [6], the thermal loss represents the energy that is not transferred to the heat carrier fluid (HTF), and this energy goes to the atmosphere. Then, a global thermal loss coefficient is defined in terms of convective, conductive, and radiative coefficients. It is also possible to calculate the thermal losses through the top, bottom, and lateral walls separately (Eqs. 12, 13, and 14, respectively).

$$U_t = \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi_{\lambda_{p,i}} [A_{t,i} (T_{ci,i} - T_{ci,o})]^{-1} \quad (12)$$

$$U_b = \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi_{\lambda_{is,i}} [A_{b,i} (T_{bi,i} - T_{bi,o})]^{-1} \quad (13)$$

$$U_l = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi_{\lambda_{is,i-j}} [A_{li,i-j} (T_{li,i-j} - T_{lo,i-j})]^{-1} \quad (14)$$

Heat fluxes $\phi_{\lambda_{p,i}}$, $\phi_{\lambda_{is,i}}$ and $\phi_{\lambda_{is,i-j}}$ are calculated by Simusol as conductive losses, and their values can be obtained by simply requesting the simulation results from the software program. Eq. 15 gives the global heat loss coefficient.

$$U_g = U_t + U_b + U_l \quad (15)$$

The collector's useful energy gain and the global efficiency are calculated by means of Eqs. 16 and 17, respectively.

$$Q_u = C_p [\dot{m}_a (T_{ai,a|i=3} - T_e) + \dot{m}_b (T_{ai,b|i=3} - T_e)] \quad (16)$$

$$\eta_g = 100 * \frac{Q_u}{I A_c} \quad (17)$$

The both air-mass flows $\dot{m}_a$ and $\dot{m}_b$ are determined by instantaneous thermal balance at air temperature nodes *BI* and *DI*, respectively [39].

$$\dot{m}_a C_p T_{ai,a|i=1} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \phi_{a,j|i=1} \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{m}_b C_p T_{ai,b}|_{i=1} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \phi_{b,j}|_{i=1} \quad (19)$$

Then, the total air mass flow results in:

$$\dot{m}_t = \dot{m}_a + \dot{m}_b \quad (20)$$

The convective fluxes $\phi_{a,j}|_{i=1}$ and $\phi_{b,j}|_{i=1}$ are determined by Simusol and represent the thermal gain from the absorber, lateral walls, covering and bottom surface into respective air flow nodes, as Fig. 4 shows.




Fig. 4. Scheme of convective fluxes into air flow nodes, for the first section of the SAHC.

4. Simulation results

Simusol generates a spreadsheet with a 7 s time-step for each parameter. It also shows a set of time-dependent variables upon request; otherwise, Simusol shows the temperature profile for all the thermal nodes in the model. To perform the simulation, the model presented here requires some climatological data input: the solar radiation based on experimental measurements, the environmental temperature, and the wind velocity in the area surrounding the collector. This information is given by tables, and they can be repeated many times by a *Prep* command. It is also important to define the slope of the collector and the geometrical dimensions. Since Simusol runs on Linux, it can be launched in a user terminal from the folder where the .dia file is located, using the *simusol* command. It is important to save all changes made in the model before starting the simulation. Fig. 5 shows a diagram of the interaction between the thermal model, the climatological data entry, the thermal/optical properties of the materials, and the geometrical design parameters for upper and bottom channels. Differential equations are solved by the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method [42], which is a simple, robust, and suitable option for the numerical solution of differential equations combined with an intelligent adaptive step-size routine.




Fig. 5. Scheme of the thermal model implemented on Simusol.

Four days were selected to perform the numerical simulation, mainly two types of days: clear and partially clouded. The aim was to reproduce the conditions of variability, as realistically as possible, with which the experimental SAHC must operate. Fig. 6 shows the temperature profile of the air flux in the upper channel. To simplify the graphs, a period from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. was defined as the operating time of the SAHC




Fig. 6. Simulated air temperature for the upper channel. Temp 1 to 3, and Temp out correspond to nodes B 1 to 3 and temp ss in Fig. 3. a) March 29, b) April 6, c) April 12, d) April 15.

It can be observed that the output temperature of the upper air can reach about 100 °C. For some processes like food drying, this temperature could be higher than necessary but ideal for certain grains, seeds, and corn. However, this temperature value enables the SAHC to be applied to other processes with higher thermal demand. The high temperatures obtained here are strongly related to the high solar radiation values measured at the location and the additional collecting area due to the trapezoidal shape of the SAHC.

Eqs. 18, 19, and 20 give the air mass flow in kg/s for both upper and bottom channels and the total air mass flow, respectively. The heat gain and the air temperature are important in establishing the air mass flow (Fig. 7). It can be observed that the air mass flow is different for each channel because of the different heat gains. The highest air mass flow corresponds to the upper channel, which means that the air velocity is the highest there. This numerical result was expected because the heat source (the absorber) is located under the air thermal node in the upper channel, while the same heat source is located above the air thermal node in the bottom channel.




Fig. 7. Air mass flow profiles for both upper and bottom channels of the SAHC and total air mass flow. a) March 29, b) April 6, c) April 12, d) April 15.

The values of the total air mass flow range from 0.002 kg/s to 0.016 kg/s. Other studies of SAHC yielded values of 0.019–0.028 kg/s [21], 0.017 kg/s [43], 0.013–0.04 kg/s [44], and 0.056 kg/s [45]. It is important to note that there are significant differences between the mentioned systems, such as the position and slope, the geometry, and the absorber shape and materials. Nevertheless, the air mass flows obtained here correspond to those normally obtained for this kind of technology.

The useful heat gain is determined by Eq. 16, which takes into account the air mass flows of both channels and the difference in output-input air temperatures. Here, the

dependence on the temperature of the specific heat of the air was neglected. Fig. 8 shows the useful heat profiles for the four days considered. A maximum thermal power output of about 900 W can be reached by the SAHC under study. The global efficiency is determined by Eq. 17, and Fig. 8 shows this parameter. In clear-sky conditions of operation, an average value of 25 % is observed, in the hours around the midday, while efficiency varies on cloudy-sky days. Peaks of about 31 % efficiency are reached by this SAHC.




Fig. 8. Global efficiency (a) and useful heat gain (b).

One of the main parameters to be considered in describing the collector's performance is the global heat loss coefficient, which is given by Eq. 15. In this model, the top, lateral, and bottom thermal losses were considered separately, and then the global heat loss was estimated. Fig. 9 shows the time variation of the total global heat loss coefficients for all the considered days. For the operating period, the global heat coefficient ranged from 10 to 12 W/m²°C. The literature reports a normal value of 10 W/m²°C [6] for a single covered air heating solar collector.

Fig. 9 shows the range of air mass flow for the heated air production, considering the thermal power output of the SAHC. For optimal performance, the SAHC should operate in a range of 0.012–0.016 kg/s. This must be considered if a forced air circulation system is integrated into the SAHC.




Fig. 9. a) Global heat loss coefficients. b) Useful heat gain related to the total air mass flow.

5. Experimental setup

For the experimental measurements, the SAHC was connected to a drying chamber of 1 m³ volume, as shown in Fig. 1, to replicate the real working conditions. The solar radiation was measured with a solarimeter placed on the covering surface of the SAHC. The air temperatures were measured according to the nodes in the simulation model; B1, B2, B3, and ss. It was only possible to place the temperature sensors in the upper channel of the collector. A thermometer Fluke 54 II with type-K thermocouples was used for measuring the temperature. The surrounding wind velocity was measured using a hot-wire anemometer. Table 2 briefly describes the used instruments.


Table 2

Properties of the measurement equipment

Parameter	Device	Accuracy
Temperature	Fluke 54 II thermometer with thermocouple K-type	0.1 °C
Wind velocity	Hot-wire anemometer TES 1341	0.01 m/s
Solar radiation	HT204 solar power meter	1 W/m ²

5.1. Experimental results

The temperatures of the circulating upper air were measured. As commented in the previous section, K-type sensors were used and the measuring procedure was carried out during the operative period of the SAHC, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Although the ASHRAE code sets the conditions for testing the equipment [46], both clear-sky and cloudy-sky conditions were considered to make simulations under real operative situations. Fig. 10 shows the measured air temperatures for the upper channel. It can be observed that the air temperature peaks between 80 °C and 100 °C. As expected, the shape of the curves follows the solar radiation profile. This indicates that the SAHC has low thermal inertia, and it can quickly reach the thermal state of operation when the solar radiation has suitable values. Since drying processes require a temperature range of 50–60 °C [47] for optimal performance, the SAHC needs solar radiation between 600 W/m² and 900 W/m². For cloudy-sky conditions, with solar radiation of about 200 W/m², the outer air temperature is about 35–40 °C. This represents an advantage because a reduced size of an auxiliary heat source is available, if necessary.






Fig. 10. Measured air temperatures in the upper channel. The *Temp out* plot corresponds to the *ss* node from the simulation. a) March 29, b) April 6, c) April 12, d) April 15.

6. Model validation

The experimental and numerical results were compared (Fig. 11). Based on direct measurements, the air temperature for the upper channel was considered the main variable for performing the comparison. For the set of measured days, the determination coefficient R^2 ranged from 0.81 to 0.93. The lowest correlation was obtained for a mostly cloudy day, where the predominant solar radiation was about 200 W/m^2 after midday (April 12). This correlation can be considered acceptable despite the unstable working conditions. For a clear-sky condition, the correlation coefficient was 93 %. Intermediate correlation values were observed for semi-cloudy sky conditions. The more stable climatic conditions, the better adjustment is achieved by the numerical model.




Fig. 11. Comparison between experimental and numerical air temperatures. a) March 29, b) April 6, c) April 12, d) April 15.

The scattering observed in Fig. 11 can also be explained by the surrounding wind variability when the measurements were performed (Fig. 12). As the SAHC studied here is a natural convective one, the oscillation of external wind produces variations in the external pressure near the inlet/outlet zones, influencing the air mass flow inside the SAHC, and therefore, the internal convective coefficients present high variability. Fig. 12 also shows a range of 0.1–3.4 m/s for wind velocity. This is a climatological feature of the region where the SAHC is mounted ([48], last access April 17, 2021).




Fig. 12. External wind velocity surrounding the SAHC.

The reliability of the comparison was analyzed using Infostat software. In addition to the R^2 , other coefficients were determined. Table 3 shows the p -value, standard error (σ_x), mean absolute error (ε), asymmetry, and Kurtosis coefficients.

Table 3
Statistical parameters for the model validation process

Day	R^2 (%)	p -Value	σ_x (°C)	ε (°C)	Asymmetry		Kurtosis	
					Meas.	Sim.	Meas.	Sim.
29 th March	93.10	0.0000	5.74	4.70	1.14	0.40	-1.11	-1.76
6 th April	87.29	0.0000	7.23	5.61	1.89	0.67	-0.84	-1.54
12 th April	81.84	0.0000	5.86	4.46	5.44	3.62	5.24	1.87
15 th April	84.05	0.0000	9.72	8.16	1.33	0.30	-1.55	-1.93

The results of the statistical analysis show a p -value lower than 0.05 for all cases, which indicates a statistically significant relation between the simulated and measured values, with a confidence level of 95 %. As mentioned above, the model explains the correlation between the analyzed variables by an R^2 higher than 80 %, which indicates a good relation between variables. In general, asymmetry and kurtosis coefficients present values within a 2 – -2 range. This implies that the data sets have a normal distribution. However, it was observed outside the range values only for April 12. This significant deviation is caused by the high meteorological variation: solar radiation decrement combined with high external wind velocity.

7. Discussion and Conclusion

A numerical model is proposed based mainly on a convective heat transfer mechanism. The air heat gain was modeled for both upper and bottom channels. This model differs from those of other authors in that the air temperatures are considered individual thermal nodes, so it is possible to determine each heat flux in the air nodes. Modeling based on

convection as the predominant heat transfer mechanism made it possible to obtain reasonable numerical results and determine the most suitable materials for air heating applications. The numerical analysis of the trapezoidal-shaped SAHC considers the measured values of the solar irradiation that reaches the outer covering surface, as well as the environmental temperature and external wind velocity. Two possible scenarios were considered to test the model proposed: clear-sky and cloudy-sky conditions. The numerical simulation was performed using an open-access software program called Simusol.

It was found that the SAHC can heat the air up to 100 °C. Moreover, lower temperatures can be obtained from lower solar radiation, of over 50 °C. The air mass flow ranged between 0.002 and 0.016 kg/s, with an optimal range of 0.012–0.016 kg/s for the maximum heat gain (880–910 W). The numerical simulations revealed a satisfactory thermal performance for food drying applications and other low enthalpy processes. It is important to note that the trapezoidal-shaped SAHC studied here is a natural convective type and the obtained performance has advantages over similar technologies since an air forcing system is not needed, which allows energy savings. Although the achieved global efficiencies are not the main feature of the proposed design, 25 % under stable operating conditions would be considered acceptable if the thermal performance (output temperatures, power thermal generation, and global heat loss) is taken into account.

The numerical results obtained in the present study are closely comparable with the results of other authors. The comparison of the main parameters is shown in Table 4.

Table 4
Comparison between results obtained in other studies

Author	Technology	Air mass flow	Area	Tout	Efficiency	Useful heat
[49]	Forced multi-pass solar dryer	0.01-0.07 kg/s	1.75 m ²	55.49 °C	59.96 %	-
[19]	Vertical SAHC with aluminum perforated plate	0.03 kg/s	0.4 m ²	16.4 °C av.	80-89 %	202 W av.
[50]	V-shaped flat-plate SAC with micro-heat pipe arrays	0.054 kg/m ² s	1.8 m ²	46.5 °C	69 %	-
[8]	V-groove with: a) single glass b) Fresnel lens	0-0.09 kg/s	1 m ²	a) 35.85 °C b) 38.39 °C	a) 47.10 % b) 71.18 %	-
Present study	Trapezoidal shaped SAHC	0.002- 0.016 kg/s	3.268 m ²	103.5 °C	17 % av. 31 % max.	900 W max.
Present study	Rectangular shaped SAHC	0.001 – 0.009 kg/s	1.9 m ²	101.3	16 % av. 28 % max.	497 W max.

The trapezoidal design of the collector increases the collecting area in a range of 1.8 to 8.2 times higher than the rectangular technologies found in the literature, without extra capital cost or initial investment and using the same constructive techniques. By increasing the collecting area, a considerable increase in the thermal power output was noted, despite the decrease in the global efficiency. Furthermore, Table 5 shows the numerical results for a rectangular SAHC with 1.9 m² of collecting area (1 m width and 1.9 m length), which was simulated by using the validated model presented here, and taking on consideration both the same climatic conditions and constructive materials than trapezoidal one. It is observed that the output temperature remains about 100 °C in spite of its poor global efficiency. Low useful heat is also observed for this rectangular

configuration and this could be explained due to the low air mass flow under natural convective conditions. This last suggests the implementation of air forced systems to the designed SAHC, in order to improve the thermal gain and the efficiency as well.

The modeling implemented in Simusol demonstrates once again that it is a reliable numerical tool for designing thermal technologies [28, 33, 51]. Although the SAHC behaved as expected, more experiments are necessary to fine-tune the model. Additionally, a more accurate numerical description of the internal convective heat transfer mechanism could further improve the model. More accurate heat and mass transfer modeling will be done in the future.

Acknowledges

The authors wish to thank Prof. Nahuel Salvo for providing support on numerical modeling.

Funding source

This work was supported by Secretaria de Cooperación Técnica y Relaciones Internacionales (National University of Salta), under the research Project *Agregando valor a la producción frutihortícola de la región con Energías Renovables*. Resolution R-N° 1995/2019.

References

- [1] BP Statistical Review of World Energy. 2019. 68th Edition.
- [2] IRENA. Global Energy Transformation. 2019 edition.
- [3] Dellicompagni P., Franco J. and Flexer V. Reducción de emisiones en la industria de litio en la Puna Argentina mediante tecnologías solares de concentración. Acta de la XLII Reunión de Trabajo de la Asociación Argentina de Energías Renovables y Medio Ambiente Vol. 7, pp. 06.21-06.31, 2019. Impreso en la Argentina. ISBN 978-987-29873-1-2
- [4] Ullah F., Mansoor K. K., Min K., Ninghui L., Jun Y. and Xingsheng W. Numerical Simulation on Thermal Performance of Flat Plate Solar Collector with Double Glass Covers. Journal of Applied Sciences, 17 (10): 502 – 510, 2017.
- [5] Siddhartha V. Thermal performance optimization of a flat plate solar air heater using genetic algorithm. Applied Energy 87 (2010) 1793 – 1799. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.10.015
- [6] Duffie, W. Beckman. Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd. (2013).
- [7] Goswami Y., Kreith F. Energy Conversion. 2017. CRC Press. 2nd Edition. ISBN 9781466584822.
- [8] Zulkifle I, Alwaeli A. H. A., Ruslan H. M., Ibrahaim Z., Hj Othman M. Y., Sopian K. Numerical investigation of V-groove air-collector performance with changing cover in Bangi, Malaysia. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering 12 (2018) 587–599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2018.07.012>.
- [9] Borello D., Corsini A., Delibra G., Evangelisti S., Micangeli A. Experimental and computational investigation of a new solar integrated collector storage system. Applied Energy 97 (2012) 982–989. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.01.026.

- [10] Chen C. Q., Diao Y. H., Zhao Y. H., Wang Z. Y., Zhu T. T., Wang T. Y. and Liang L. Numerical evaluation of the thermal performance of different types of double glazing flat-plate solar air collectors. *Energy* 233 (2021) 121087. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.121087> 0.
- [11] Debnath S., Das B., Randive P. R. and Pandey K. M. Performance of solar air collector in the climatic condition of North Eastern India. *Energy* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j. energy.2018.09.038
- [12] Wang Z., Diao Y., Zhao Y., Chen C., Liang L., Wang T. Thermal performance of integrated collector storage solar air heater with evacuated tube and lap oint-type fat micro-heat pipe arrays. *Applied Energy* 261 (2020) 114466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114466>.
- [13] Zheng W., Zhang H., You S., Fu Y., Zheng X. Thermal performance analysis of a metal corrugated packing solar air collector in cold regions. *Applied Energy* 203 (2017) 938–947. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.06.016>.
- [14] Molero Villar N., Cejudo López J. M., Domínguez Muñoz F., Rodríguez García E., Carrillo Andrés A. Numérical 3-D heat flux simulations on flat plate solar collectors. *Solar Energy* 83 (2009) 1086 – 1092.
- [15] Esmailie F., Ghadamian H. and Aminy H. Modeling and simulation of a solar flat plate collector as an air heater considering energy efficiency. *Mechanics and Industry* 15, 455 – 464 (2014).
- [16] Subiantoro A., Tiow O. K. Analytical models for the computation and optimization of single and double glazing flate plate solar collectors with normal and small air gap spacing. *Applied Energy* 104 (2013) 392-399. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.11.009>
- [17] Vall S., Johannes K., David D. and Castell A. A new flat-plate radiative cooling and solar collector numerical model: Evaluation and metamodeling. *Energy* 202 (2020) 117750. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117750>
- [18] Wang L., Man Yi., Shengyu S. and Zejiang W. Application of Solar Air Collector and Floor Air Supply Heating System in Winter. *Procedia Engineering* 205 (2017) 3623 – 3629.
- [19] Wenfang L., Shuhui X., Haiguang D. and You J. Numerical Simulation Study on a Flat-Plate Solar Air Collector. M. Ma (Ed.): *Communication Systems and Information Technology*, LNEE 100, pp. 117–123. (2011).
- [20] Zoukit A., El Ferouali H., Salhi I., Doubabi S. and Abdenouri N. Simulation, design and experimental performance evaluation of an innovative hybrid solar-gas dryer. *Energy* Volume 189, 15 December 2019, 116279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116279>
- [21] Bhattacharyya T., Anandalakshmi R., Srinivasan K. Heat transfer analysis on finned plate air heating solar collector for its application in paddy drying. *Energy Procedia* 109 (2017) 353 – 360. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.086.
- [22] Qiu Lin, ZouYue, Huangli. Simulation Analysis on a Special Air-Heating Collector. *Applied Mechanics and Materials* Vol 88-89 (2011) pp. 642-646 (2011) Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.88-89.642
- [23] Hernández A., Quiñonez J. Analytical models of thermal performance of solar air heaters of double-parallel flow and of double-pass counter flow. *Renewable Energy* 55 (2013). 380–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.12.050>.

- [24] Reichl Ch., Kramer K., Thoma Ch., Benovsky P. and Lemée T. Comparison of modelled heat transfer and fluid dynamics of a flat plate solar air heating collector towards experimental data. *Solar Energy* 120 (2015) 450 - 463
- [25] Badache M., Rousse D., Hallé S., Quesada G. and Dutil Y. Experimental and two-dimensional numerical simulation of an unglazed transpired solar air collector. *Energy Procedia* 30 (2012) 19 - 28
- [26] Mustafa M. T., Mustafa A. T. Numerical Simulation of Thermal – Hydrodynamic Behavior within Solar Air Collector. *Journal of Engineering* Number 3, Volumen 24, Month 3 (2018).
- [27] Orszag S. A., Yakhot V., Flannery W. S., Boysan F. Renormalization group modeling and turbulence simulations. Near-wall turbulent flows, *Proceedings of the International Conference*, Tempe, AZ; Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1993;1031–1046.
- [28] Dellicompagni P., Franco J., Heim D., Wieprzkowicz A. Numerical modeling of phase change materials using simusol software. *Applied Thermal Engineering* 170 (2020) 114772.
- [29] Hernández A. L, Quiñonez J. E. and López F. H. Transient numerical study of thermo-energetic performance of solar air heating collectors with metallix porous matrix. *Solar Energy* 178 (2019) 18 - 192
- [30] Kareem M. W., Habib K., Sopian K. and Ruslan M. H. Multi-pass solar air heating collector system for drying of screw-pine leaf (*Pandanus tectorius*), *Renewable Energy* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.069.
- [31] Lippin P., Rekha L., Vazhappilly C. V. and Melvinraj C. R. Numerical Simulation for Solar Hybrid Photovoltaic Thermal Air Collector. *Procedia Technology* 24 (2016) 513 – 522.
- [32] Orbegoso E. M., Saavedra R., Marcelo D. and La Madrid R. Numerical characterisation of one-step and three-step solar air heating collectors used for cocoa bean solar drying, *Journal of Environmental Management* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.07.015>
- [33] Salvo A., Dellicompagni P., Sarmiento N., Franco J. and Echazú R. Simulación y validación de un secadero solar directo pasivo mediante simusol. *Avances en Energías Renovables y Medio Ambiente*. Vol. 22, pp 02.73-02.83, 2018. Impreso en la Argentina. ISSN 2314-1433 - Trabajo seleccionado de Actas ASADES 2018.
- [34] Saravia L., Alía de Saravia D., Echazú R., Alcorta G. La simulación de sistemas termomecánicos solares con el programa Simusol. *Avances en Energías Renovables y Medio Ambiente* 2007;11:03.01e3.08. ISSN 0329 e 5184.
- [35] Haghighat F. Numerical simulation of the performance of an air heating solar collector. *International Journal of Ambient Energy*, 9:3 (1988). 135 – 148. DOI: 10.1080/01430750.1988.9675926.
- [36] Bashria A, Yousef A., Adam N. M., Sopian K., Zaharim A., Alghoul M. Analysis of single and double passes V-grooves solar collector with and without porous media. *International Journal of Energy Environment*. Issue 2, Volumen 1 (2007) 109–114.
- [37] Bergman T. L., Lavine A. S., Incropera F. P., Dewitt D. P. *Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 7th ed. Jhon Wiley and Sons, 2011. ISBN 13 978-0470-50197-9.
- [38] Kays W. M., Crawford M. E., Weigand B. *Convective Heat and Mass Transfer*, 4th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, Boston, 2005.

- [39] Iriarte A., Rodríguez C., Bistoni S., Hall M. simulación de un secadero solar tendalero túnel. caracterización y optimización. *Avances en Energías Renovables y Medio Ambiente*. Vol. 18, pp.08.09-08.18, 2014. ISSN 2314-1433.
- [40] Liu C., Wu Y., Li D., Ma T., Hussein A. K., Zhou Y. Investigation of thermal and optical performance of a phase change material–filled double-glazing unit, *J. Build. Phys.* (2017) 1–21, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1744259117708734>.
- [41] Liu C., Bian J., Zhang G., Li D., Liu X. Influence of optical parameters on thermal and optical performance of multi-layer glazed roof filled with PCM, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.01.117>.
- [42] Press W. H., Flannery B. P., Teukolsky S. A., Vetterling W. T. *Runge-Kutta method and adaptive step size control for Runge-Kutta*, 16.1 and 16.2. England: Cambridge University Press; 1992. p. 704-16.
- [43] Ghiami S., Ghiami A., Comparative study based on energy and exergy analyses of a baffled solar air heater with latent storage collector, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2018, 133, 2018, 797-808. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.11.111>.
- [44] Kabeel A. E., Hamed M. H., Omar Z. M., Kandael A. W. Influence of fin height on the performance of a glazed and bladed entrance single-pass solar air heater, *Solar Energy*, 162, 2018, 410-419. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2018.01.037.
- [45] Karim M. A., Hawlader M. N. A. Performance investigation of flat plate, v-corrugated and finned air collectors. *Energy*, 31 (2006), pp. 452-470. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2005.03.007.
- [46] ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 93-2003, 2003. *Methods of Testing to Determine Thermal Performance of Solar Collectors*, ISSN: 1041-2336, ASHRAE, Inc., 1791 Tullie Circle, Ne, Atlanta, GA30329.
- [47] Saravia L., Espinoza R. *Secado solar de productos agroalimentarios en Iberoamérica*. 1st Edition. Red Iberoamericana de secado solar de productos alimentarios. CYTED. ISBN 978-987-05-8633-3. (2010).
- [48] SEREE. Sistema de información geográfico. Mapa eólico nacional. Subsecretaría de Energías Renovables y Eficiencia Energética. <https://sigeolico.energia.gob.ar/login> (last access 17th April, 2021).
- [49] Kareem M. W., Habib K., Sopian K. and Irshad K. Performance evaluation of a novel multi-pass solar air heating collector. *Procedia Engineering* 145 (2016) 638 – 645. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.06.528.
- [50] Zhu, T., Diao, Y., Zhao, Y., and Ma, C. Performance evaluation of a novel flat-plate solar air collector with micro-heat pipe arrays (MHPA), *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 118, 2017, 1-16.
- [51] Dellicompagni P., Saravia L., Altamirano M., Franco J. Simulation and testing of a solar reciprocating steam engine, *Energy* 151 (2018) 662–674, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.03.110>.